10/612,373 LINDOFF ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Dominic E. Rego 2684 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Dominic E. Rego. (3)_____ (2) Mike Cameron. Date of Interview: 31 August 2006. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 38. Identification of prior art discussed: _____ Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney agreed to amend the claim 38's preamble as " a computer program product stored in a computer-readable medium and executable by a computer for controlling transmission power from a wireless transceiver, the computer program product comprising". The examiner called the applicant's attorney, Mr. Mike Cameron at 972-583-4145 and discussed the problem with the claim 38. Mr. Cameron agreed with the examiner to amend the claim as stated above.